Appendix for "Are samples Drawn from Mechanical Turk Valid for Research on Political Ideology?"

Page 2. Appendix A: Survey Question Wording

- Page 11. Appendix B: Variable Construction and Coding
- Page 12. Appendix C: Demographics
- Page 13. Appendix D: Correlation and Coefficient Plots for Partisanship
- Page 17. Appendix E: Cohen's d and Mean Statistics
- Page 18. Appendix F: Regression Models
- Page 27. Appendix G: False Discovery Rate Tables

Appendix A: Survey Question Wording

Question Wording for MTurk Survey:

- 1. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
 - 1. Government should provide many fewer services
 - 2.
 - 3.
 - 4.
 - 5.
 - 6.
 - 7. Government should provide many more services
 - Haven't thought much about this
- 2. There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for every-one. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
 - 1. Government insurance plan
 - 2.
 - 3.
 - 4.
 - 5.
 - 6.
 - 7. Private insurance plan
 - Haven't thought much about this
- 3. Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
 - 1. Government should see to jobs and standards of living
 - 2.
 - 3.
 - 4.
 - 5.
 - 6.
 - 7. Government should let each person get ahead on their own
 - Haven't thought much about this
- 4. We would like you to tell us whether you would like to see spending increased or decreased on aid to the poor.

- \bullet Increased
- Kept about the same
- \bullet Decreased
- 5. There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions below best agrees with your view?
 - By law, abortion should never be permitted.
 - The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.
 - The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the need for the abortion has been clearly established.
 - By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.
- 6. Do you think gay or lesbian couples should be legally permitted to adopt children?
 - \bullet Yes
 - \bullet No
- 7. Do you think it is better, worse, or makes no difference for the family as a whole if the man works outside the home and the woman takes care of the home and family?
 - \bullet Better
 - \bullet Worse
 - Makes no difference
- 8. Generally speaking, do you usually consider yourself a Democrat, a Republican, an independent, or something else?
 - Democrat
 - \bullet Republican
 - Independent
 - \bullet Other
- 9. <If Democrat Is Selected> Do you consider yourself to be a strong Democrat or not a strong Democrat?
 - Strong Democrat
 - Not strong Democrat
- 10. <If Republican Is Selected> Do you consider yourself to be a strong Republican or not a strong Republican?
 - \bullet Strong Republican
 - Not strong Republican
- 11. <If Independent or Other Is Selected> Do you consider yourself closer to Democrats, closer to Republicans, or neither?
 - \bullet Closer to Democrats
 - Closer to Republicans
 - Neither
- 12. We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale?
 - Extremely liberal

- \bullet Liberal
- Slightly liberal
- Moderate; middle of the road
- Slightly conservative
- Conservative
- Extremely conservative

Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. Below are pairs of desirable qualities.

- 13. Which one do you think is more important for a child to have: INDEPENDENCE or RESPECT FOR ELDERS
 - Independence
 - Respect for elders
- 14. Which one do you think is more important for a child to have: CURIOSITY or GOOD MANNERS
 - Curiosity
 - Good manners
- 15. Which one do you think is more important for a child to have: OBEDIENCE or SELF-RELIANCE
 - Obedience
 - \bullet Self-reliance
- 16. Which one do you think is more important for a child to have: BEING CONSIDERATE or WELL BEHAVED
 - Considerate
 - Well behaved

Please tell us how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statements.

- 17. 'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.'
 - Agree strongly
 - \bullet Agree somewhat
 - Neither agree nor disagree
 - Disagree somewhat
 - Disagree strongly
- 18. 'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'
 - Agree strongly
 - Agree somewhat
 - Neither agree nor disagree
 - \bullet Disagree somewhat
 - Disagree strongly

19. 'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.'

- Agree strongly
- Agree somewhat
- Neither agree nor disagree

- Disagree somewhat
- Disagree strongly
- 20. 'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.'
 - Agree strongly
 - Agree somewhat
 - Neither agree nor disagree
 - \bullet Disagree somewhat
 - \bullet Disagree strongly

Now you are going to read several statements about society in general. After each one, I would like you to tell us how strongly you agree or disagree.

- 21. 'The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes.'
 - Agree strongly
 - Agree somewhat
 - Neither agree nor disagree
 - Disagree somewhat
 - Disagree strongly

22. 'The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society.'

- Agree strongly
- Agree somewhat
- Neither agree nor disagree
- \bullet Disagree somewhat
- Disagree strongly
- 23. 'We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own.'
 - Agree strongly
 - Agree somewhat
 - Neither agree nor disagree
 - \bullet Disagree somewhat
 - Disagree strongly

24. 'This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties.'

- Agree strongly
- Agree somewhat
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree somewhat
- Disagree strongly

You are going to read several more statements. After each one, we would like you to tell us how strongly you agree or disagree.

- 25. 'Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed.'
 - Agree strongly
 - \bullet Agree somewhat
 - Neither agree nor disagree
 - Disagree somewhat

- Disagree strongly
- 26. 'We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.'
 - Agree strongly
 - Agree somewhat
 - Neither agree nor disagree
 - Disagree somewhat
 - Disagree strongly
- 27. 'One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.'
 - Agree strongly
 - \bullet Agree somewhat
 - Neither agree nor disagree
 - Disagree somewhat
 - Disagree strongly

28. 'This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are.'

- Agree strongly
- \bullet Agree somewhat
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree somewhat
- Disagree strongly

29. 'It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.'

- Agree strongly
- \bullet Agree somewhat
- Neither agree nor disagree
- \bullet Disagree somewhat
- Disagree strongly

30. 'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.'

- Agree strongly
- \bullet Agree somewhat
- Neither agree nor disagree
- \bullet Disagree somewhat
- Disagree strongly

We're interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other.

- 31. 'Extraverted, enthusiastic' describes me
 - Extremely poorly
 - Somewhat poorly
 - A little poorly
 - Neither poorly nor well
 - A little well
 - Somewhat well
 - Extremely well
- 32. 'Critical, quarrelsome' describes me
 - Extremely poorly

- Somewhat poorly
- A little poorly
- Neither poorly nor well
- \bullet A little well
- Somewhat well
- Extremely well
- 33. 'Dependable, self-disciplined' describes me
 - Extremely poorly
 - Somewhat poorly
 - A little poorly
 - Neither poorly nor well
 - \bullet A little well
 - \bullet Somewhat well
 - \bullet Extremely well
- 34. 'Anxious, easily upset' describes me
 - Extremely poorly
 - Somewhat poorly
 - A little poorly
 - Neither poorly nor well
 - \bullet A little well
 - \bullet Somewhat well
 - \bullet Extremely well
- 35. 'Open to new experiences, complex' describes me
 - Extremely poorly
 - Somewhat poorly
 - A little poorly
 - Neither poorly nor well
 - A little well
 - Somewhat well
 - Extremely well

We're interested in how you see yourself. Please mark how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other.

- 36. 'Reserved, quiet' describes me
 - Extremely poorly
 - Somewhat poorly
 - A little poorly
 - Neither poorly nor well
 - \bullet A little well
 - \bullet Somewhat well
 - Extremely well
- 37. 'Sympathetic, warm' describes me
 - Extremely poorly
 - \bullet Somewhat poorly
 - A little poorly
 - Neither poorly nor well
 - A little well

- \bullet Somewhat well
- Extremely well
- 38. 'Disorganized, careless' describes me
 - Extremely poorly
 - Somewhat poorly
 - A little poorly
 - Neither poorly nor well
 - \bullet A little well
 - \bullet Somewhat well
 - \bullet Extremely well
- 39. 'Calm, emotionally stable' describes me
 - Extremely poorly
 - Somewhat poorly
 - A little poorly
 - Neither poorly nor well
 - \bullet A little well
 - \bullet Somewhat well
 - \bullet Extremely well
- 40. 'Conventional, uncreative' describes me
 - Extremely poorly
 - \bullet Somewhat poorly
 - A little poorly
 - Neither poorly nor well
 - \bullet A little well
 - Somewhat well
 - Extremely well

Now we would like to get some background information about you.

- 41. born In what year were you born? <List of years ranging from 1901 to 2000>
- 42. What is your sex?
 - Male
 - \bullet Female
- 43. What is the highest level of school you have completed or the highest degree you have received?
 - \bullet Less than 1st grade
 - \bullet 1st, 2nd, 4rd, or 4th grade
 - 5th or 6th grade
 - 7th or 8th grade
 - \bullet 9th grade
 - \bullet 10th grade
 - \bullet 11th grade
 - \bullet 12th grade no diploma
 - High school graduate- high school diploma or equivalent (for example: GED)
 - Some college but no degree
 - Associate degree in college Occupational/vocational program

- Associate degree in college Academic Program
- Bachelor's degree (For example: BA, AB, BS)
- Master's degree (For example: MA, MS, MEng, Med, DVM, LLB, JD)
- Doctorate degree (For example: PhD, EdD)
- \bullet Other <text box>

44. In which of these groups did your family's total income, from all sources, fall last year before taxes?

- Under \$5,000
- \$5,000 to 9,999
- \$10,000 to 12,499
- \$12,500 to 14,999
- \$15,000 to 17,499
- \$17,500 to 19,999
- \$20,000 to 24,499
- \$25,000 to 27,499
- \$27,500 to 29,999
- \$30,000 to 34,999
- \$35,000 to 39,999
- \$40,000 to 44,999
- \$45,000 to 49,999
- \$50,000 to 54,999
- \$55,000 to 59,999
- \$60,000 to 64,999
- \$65,000 to 69,999
- \$70,000 to 74,999
- \$75,000 to 79,999
- \$80,000 to 89,999
- \$90,000 to 99,999\$100,000 to 109,999
- \$110,000 to 109,999
- \$125,000 to 149,999
- \$125,000 to 149,999
- \$150,000 to 174,999
 \$175,000 to 249,999
- \$250,000 or over
- 45. People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, do you pray several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week, or never?
 - Several times a day
 - Once a day
 - A Few times a week
 - \bullet Once a week
 - \bullet Never
- 46. Would you say religion provides no guidance in your day-to-day living, some guidance, quite a bit of guidance, or a great deal of guidance in your day-to-day life?
 - No guidance
 - Some guidance
 - Quite a bit guidance
 - A great deal guidance
- 47. Do you go to religious services every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?

- Every week
- Almost every week
- \bullet Once or twice a month
- \bullet A few times a year
- \bullet Never
- 48. Do you go to religious services every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?
 - Never
 - A few times a year
 - Once or twice a month
 - Almost every week
 - Every week
- 49. What is your Mechanical Turk Worker ID? <text box>
- 50. Do you have any comments for the researchers? <text box>

Question wording for the ANES 2012 Time Series Study can be found here: <htp://www.electionstudies.org/studypages/anes_timeseries_2012/anes_timeseries_2012.htm>

Appendix B: Variable Construction and Coding

Our first dependent variable is self-placement political ideology on a seven-point scale ranging from extremely liberal (1) to extremely conservative (7). The second dependent variable is partisan identity, measured using the branching approach and ranging from strong Democrat (1) to strong Republican (7). We also measured social and economic ideology by combining policy attitudes following the approach of Feldman and Johnston (2014). Economic ideology is measured as the average of attitudes towards government providing services, government health insurance, government assisting people to get ahead, and assistance to the poor. Social ideology is measured as the average of attitudes towards abortion, gay adoption, and women in the workplace are averaged to create this index. For each scale, constituent items were rescaled to range from 0 to 1, where 1 represents the most conservative response, then averaged.

Our independent variables are personality traits and values. The Big Five personality traits are measured using the Ten-Item Personality Inventory (TIPI; Gosling, Rentfrow, and Swann 2003), which is commonly used in political research (e.g., Gerber et al. 2012, 2013). All traits are scaled to range from 1 to 7, where 7 represents the highest levels of Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Emotional Stability, or Openness to Experience.

Next, we measured three values commonly used in political research (authoritarianism, moral traditionalism, and egalitarianism), as well as racial resentment. To measure authoritarianism, respondents were presented with four questions asking respondents to choose which of two qualities is more important for children to have (Feldman and Stenner 1997). Each question was coded 0 for the non-authoritarian answer and 1 for the authoritarian answer. Responses to the four questions were averaged to create an index of authoritarianism. Racial resentment is measured as agreement with four statements, measured on five-point scales. Responses were rescaled to range from 0 to 1 where higher values represent more racial resentment, then averaged. Moral traditionalism is measured similarly to racial resentment, as the average agreement with four statements regarding traditional moral principles. Finally, egalitarianism is measured as the average agreement with six statements about equality in America.

Our demographic controls include age, education, gender, income, and religiosity. Age is scaled to range from 0 to 1. Education ranges from 1 (less than high school diploma) to 5 (graduate degree). Sex is coded as 0 for females and 1 for males. Income ranges from 1 (under \$5,000 per year) to 27 (more than \$250,000 per year). Finally, religiosity is an index of church attendance, the amount of guidance received from religion, and frequency of prayer. These questions are coded 0 to 1 and averaged to create the index.

Appendix C: Demographics

	Face-to-face Sample	Web S	amples
	ANES	ANES	MTurk
Ideology			
Extreme liberal	22%	23%	19%
Liberal	13%	15%	22%
Slightly liberal	17%	10%	18%
Moderate	11%	15%	15%
Slightly conservative	14%	10%	7%
Conservative	9%	12%	11%
Extreme conservative	11%	15%	7%
Average Economic Ideology	.48	.53	.39
Average Social Ideology	.37	.40	.21
Male	45%	51%	47%
Mean Age	47	52	34
Education			
Less Than high school	13%	8%	2%
High school credential	25%	24%	9%
Some college	34%	33%	39%
Bachelor's degree	18%	21%	38%
Graduate degree	10%	14%	12%
Average income bracket	\$40,000-\$44,999	\$50,000-\$54,999	\$45,000-\$49,999
Mean Religiosity	.49	.46	.28

Table A1: Comparing ANES and MTurk Demographics

Note: Percentages and means. MTurk survey is from June 2015. ANES surveys took place September 2012 to January 2013

Appendix D: Correlation and Coefficient Plots for Partisanship



Figure A1: Sample Differences of Personality Traits by Partisanship

Significance levels: p<0.05; p<0.01; p<0.01; p<0.01



Figure A2: Sample Differences of Values by Partisanship

Databet - PTP ANES 2012 - Mildik - Web ANES 2012

Significance levels: p<0.05; p<0.01; p<0.01; p<0.01



Figure A3: Sample Differences of Economic and Social Issue Preferences by Partisanship

Significance levels: p<0.05; p<0.01; p<0.01; p<0.001

Dataset - FTF ANES 2012 - MTurk - Web ANES 2012



Figure A4: Partisanship Coefficient Plots





OLS Coefficient (positive values indicate greater conservatism)

Appendix E: Cohen's d and Mean Statistics

		Mean			Cohen's d & p-value				
	MT	Web	FTF	$MT \ vs. \ Web^a$	MT vs. FTF ^b	Web vs. FTF^{c}			
Openness	5.20	4.74	5.09	d = 0.39, p < .001	d = 0.09, p = 0.01	d = -0.31, p < .001			
	(0.06)	(0.04)	(0.06)						
Conscientiousness	5.40	5.64	5.59	d = -0.20, p < .001	d = -0.15, p < .001	d = 0.05, p = 0.12			
	(0.07)	(0.04)	(0.06)						
Extraversion	3.58	4.01	4.42	d = -0.30, p < .001	d = -0.59, p < .001	d = -0.32, p < .001			
	(0.08)	(0.04)	(0.07)						
Agreeableness	5.25	5.16	5.10	d = 0.07, p = 0.02	d = 0.12, p < .001	d = 0.05, p = 0.09			
	(0.07)	(0.04)	(0.06)						
Emotional Stability	4.81	4.97	4.92	d = -0.12, p < .001	d = -0.08, p = 0.03	d = 0.04, p = 0.23			
	(0.08)	(0.04)	(0.07)						
Moral Traditionalism	0.37	0.58	0.54	d = -0.84, p < .001	d = -0.72, p < .001	d = 0.16, p < .001			
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)						
Racial Resentment	0.46	0.62	0.62	d = -0.57, p < .001	d = -0.56, p < .001	d = 0.02, p = 0.62			
	(0.06)	(0.01)	(0.01)						
Egalitarianism	0.69	0.58	0.63	d = 0.47, p < .001	d = 0.30, p < .001	d = -0.20, p < .001			
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)						
Authoritarianism	0.37	0.60	0.61	d = -0.73, p < .001	$d = -0.78, \ p < .001$	d = -0.04, p = 0.26			
	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.02)						

Table A2: Means, Cohen's d's, and p-values for MTurk, ANES Web, and ANES FTF Samples

Note: This table reports the means with standard errors in parentheses and mean comparison statistics of personality traits and values for MTurk, ANES Web, and ANES FTF samples.

^a These columns report mean comparison statistics for the MTurk and ANES Web samples

^b These columns report mean comparison statistics for the MTurk and ANES FTF samples

^c These columns report mean comparison statistics for the ANES Web and FTF samples

Appendix F: Regression Models

				Dependen	t variables:			
	Authori	tarianism	Racial R	esentment	Moral Tra	ditionalism	Egalita	rianism
ANES FTF^a	0.230^{***} (0.022)	0.139^{***} (0.022)	0.144^{***} (0.017)	0.135^{***} (0.019)	0.172^{***} (0.014)	0.097^{***} (0.014)	-0.091^{***} (0.012)	-0.085^{***} (0.014)
ANES Web ^b	0.193^{***} (0.015)	0.143^{***} (0.016)	0.130^{***} (0.012)	0.136^{***} (0.013)	0.150^{***} (0.010)	0.086^{***} (0.010)	-0.086^{***} (0.009)	-0.084^{***} (0.010)
Age		-0.050 (0.031)		-0.085^{**} (0.026)		0.108^{***} (0.019)		0.070^{***} (0.019)
Education		-0.074^{***} (0.007)		-0.052^{***} (0.006)		-0.024^{***} (0.004)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.024^{***} \\ (0.004) \end{array}$
Male		-0.006 (0.013)		0.037^{**} (0.011)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.016 \\ (0.008) \end{array}$		-0.040^{***} (0.008)
Income		-0.002^{*} (0.001)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.0003 \\ (0.001) \end{array}$		-0.001^{*} (0.001)		-0.001^{*} (0.001)
Religiosity		0.336^{***} (0.024)		-0.014 (0.020)		0.269^{***} (0.015)		-0.031^{*} (0.015)
Constant	0.273^{***} (0.011)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.517^{***} \\ (0.027) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.335^{***} \\ (0.009) \end{array}$	0.528^{***} (0.023)	0.248^{***} (0.007)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.267^{***} \\ (0.017) \end{array}$	0.807^{***} (0.006)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.744^{***} \\ (0.017) \end{array}$
Observations R ²	$2,085 \\ 0.087$	$1,951 \\ 0.252$	$2,079 \\ 0.062$	$1,949 \\ 0.118$	2,088 0.121	$1,954 \\ 0.302$	$2,083 \\ 0.051$	$1,951 \\ 0.087$

Table A3: Demographics Help Explain Value Differences Among Liberal Respondents

Note: Entries are OLS coefficients with standard errors in parentheses. These results are restricted to self-reported liberals; significance levels: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. ^a This is a dummy variable for the ANES FTF sample ^b This is a dummy variable for the ANES Web sample

			Dependen	nt variable:		
		Ideology	1		Part is an ship	
_	ANES FTF	ANES Web	MTurk	ANES FTF	ANES Web	MTurk
Extraversion	-0.014 (0.041)	-0.0002 (0.019)	$0.009 \\ (0.024)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.059 \\ (0.052) \end{array}$	$0.039 \\ (0.029)$	$0.005 \\ (0.030)$
Agreeableness	-0.087 (0.051)	-0.100^{***} (0.024)	-0.143^{***} (0.032)	$0.003 \\ (0.063)$	-0.091^{*} (0.037)	-0.172^{***} (0.039)
Conscientiousness	0.204^{***} (0.048)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.149^{***} \\ (0.023) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.143^{***} \\ (0.032) \end{array}$	0.126^{*} (0.060)	0.167^{***} (0.036)	0.122^{**} (0.039)
Emotional Stability	$0.085 \\ (0.046)$	$0.033 \\ (0.021)$	0.072^{*} (0.029)	$0.033 \\ (0.056)$	$0.030 \\ (0.033)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.032 \\ (0.035) \end{array}$
Openness	-0.236^{***} (0.052)	-0.200^{***} (0.023)	-0.206^{***} (0.031)	-0.252^{***} (0.064)	-0.276^{***} (0.035)	-0.152^{***} (0.038)
Age	$0.404 \\ (0.216)$	0.302^{**} (0.108)	0.479^{*} (0.187)	$0.055 \\ (0.270)$	-0.237 (0.167)	$0.403 \\ (0.229)$
Education	-0.010 (0.046)	-0.119^{***} (0.022)	-0.130^{**} (0.043)	$0.048 \\ (0.059)$	-0.005 (0.035)	-0.081 (0.053)
Male	0.342^{**} (0.105)	0.259^{***} (0.049)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.264^{***} \\ (0.078) \end{array}$	0.362^{**} (0.130)	0.371^{***} (0.076)	0.365^{***} (0.096)
Income	0.011 (0.007)	0.013^{***} (0.003)	$0.010 \\ (0.006)$	0.036^{***} (0.009)	0.034^{***} (0.005)	$0.012 \\ (0.007)$
Religiosity	$\begin{array}{c} 1.335^{***} \\ (0.159) \end{array}$	$\frac{1.331^{***}}{(0.071)}$	$2.095^{***} \\ (0.126)$	0.920^{***} (0.196)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.239^{***} \\ (0.110) \end{array}$	$2.061^{***} \\ (0.154)$
Constant	3.191^{***} (0.396)	3.979^{***} (0.180)	3.489^{***} (0.285)	$2.527^{***} \\ (0.475)$	$3.140^{***} \\ (0.277)$	$3.421^{***} \\ (0.349)$
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Observations} \\ \text{R}^2 \end{array}$	$824 \\ 0.147$	$3,393 \\ 0.147$	$1,432 \\ 0.248$	$1,055 \\ 0.067$	$3,405 \\ 0.080$	$1,431 \\ 0.162$

Table A4: Ideology and Partisanship as a Function of Personality Traits and Demographics by Sample

Note: Entries are OLS coefficients with standard errors in parentheses; significance levels: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

			Dependen	t variable:		
		Ideology			Part is an ship	
	ANES FTF	ANES Web	MTurk	ANES FTF	ANES Web	MTurk
Egalitarianism	-1.452^{***} (0.254)	-1.702^{***} (0.112)	-1.614^{***} (0.171)	-2.458^{***} (0.315)	-2.783^{***} (0.172)	-2.265^{***} (0.226)
Moral Traditionalism	$\frac{1.899^{***}}{(0.241)}$	$2.120^{***} \\ (0.108)$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.736^{***} \\ (0.163) \end{array}$	$2.359^{***} \\ (0.298)$	$2.517^{***} \\ (0.167)$	$\frac{1.618^{***}}{(0.216)}$
Racial Resentment	1.056^{***} (0.208)	0.776^{***} (0.098)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.287^{***} \\ (0.137) \end{array}$	$\frac{1.686^{***}}{(0.249)}$	$\frac{1.803^{***}}{(0.151)}$	$\frac{1.036^{***}}{(0.181)}$
Authoritarianism	-0.096 (0.171)	$0.093 \\ (0.069)$	$0.120 \\ (0.098)$	-0.557^{***} (0.211)	-0.437^{***} (0.106)	-0.290^{*} (0.130)
Age	$0.102 \\ (0.197)$	$0.105 \\ (0.089)$	$0.089 \\ (0.146)$	-0.470 (0.241)	-0.445^{**} (0.137)	-0.028 (0.193)
Education	$0.056 \\ (0.044)$	-0.024 (0.019)	$0.014 \\ (0.035)$	0.115^{*} (0.054)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.103^{***} \\ (0.029) \end{array}$	$0.030 \\ (0.046)$
Male	0.228^{*} (0.092)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.138^{***} \\ (0.039) \end{array}$	$0.055 \\ (0.060)$	0.220^{*} (0.112)	0.159^{**} (0.059)	$0.094 \\ (0.079)$
Income	$0.004 \\ (0.007)$	$0.005 \\ (0.003)$	$0.002 \\ (0.005)$	0.022^{**} (0.008)	0.019^{***} (0.004)	-0.0001 (0.006)
Religiosity	0.691^{***} (0.164)	0.496^{***} (0.066)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.688^{***} \\ (0.119) \end{array}$	0.383^{*} (0.195)	0.308^{**} (0.102)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.707^{***} \\ (0.158) \end{array}$
Constant	$2.696^{***} \\ (0.342)$	$3.111^{***} \\ (0.150)$	$2.866^{***} \\ (0.237)$	$2.446^{***} \\ (0.414)$	$2.427^{***} \\ (0.231)$	$3.558^{***} \\ (0.314)$
$\overline{ Observations} \\ R^2$	$790 \\ 0.325$	$3,387 \\ 0.428$	$1,432 \\ 0.535$	$1,013 \\ 0.292$	$3,400 \\ 0.385$	$1,431 \\ 0.396$

Table A5: Partisanship and Ideology as a Function of Values and Demographics by Sample

Note: Entries are OLS coefficients with standard errors in parentheses; significance levels: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

			Depender	nt variable:		
	Socia	l Issue Preferen	ces	Econor	nic Issue Prefer	rences
	ANES FTF	ANES Web	MTurk	ANES FTF	ANES Web	MTurk
Extraversion	0.001 (0.007)	-0.007 (0.004)	-0.001 (0.004)	$0.009 \\ (0.007)$	0.009^{*} (0.004)	$0.001 \\ (0.004)$
Agreeableness	0.001 (0.009)	-0.017^{***} (0.005)	-0.013^{*} (0.005)	-0.013 (0.008)	-0.020^{***} (0.004)	-0.031^{***} (0.006)
Conscientiousness	0.023^{**} (0.009)	0.015^{**} (0.005)	$0.005 \\ (0.005)$	0.017^{*} (0.008)	0.029^{***} (0.004)	0.022^{***} (0.006)
Emotional Stability	-0.008 (0.008)	$0.0004 \\ (0.004)$	$0.006 \\ (0.005)$	$0.006 \\ (0.008)$	0.015^{***} (0.004)	$0.009 \\ (0.005)$
Openness	-0.044^{***} (0.009)	-0.035^{***} (0.005)	-0.024^{***} (0.005)	-0.023^{**} (0.008)	-0.035^{***} (0.004)	-0.029*** (0.006)
Age	$\begin{array}{c} 0.138^{***} \\ (0.039) \end{array}$	0.062^{**} (0.022)	0.108^{***} (0.031)	$0.021 \\ (0.036)$	$0.005 \\ (0.021)$	0.100^{**} (0.033)
Education	-0.048^{***} (0.008)	-0.039^{***} (0.005)	-0.020^{**} (0.007)	$0.011 \\ (0.008)$	-0.002 (0.004)	$0.001 \\ (0.008)$
Male	0.091^{***} (0.019)	0.096^{***} (0.010)	0.032^{*} (0.013)	0.027 (0.017)	0.039^{***} (0.009)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.055^{***} \\ (0.014) \end{array}$
Income	-0.002 (0.001)	$0.0003 \\ (0.001)$	-0.0002 (0.001)	0.008^{***} (0.001)	0.006^{***} (0.001)	0.007^{***} (0.001)
Religiosity	$\begin{array}{c} 0.375^{***} \\ (0.028) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.479^{***} \\ (0.015) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.528^{***} \\ (0.021) \end{array}$	-0.057^{*} (0.026)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.103^{***} \\ (0.013) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.232^{***} \\ (0.022) \end{array}$
Constant	$\begin{array}{c} 0.386^{***} \ (0.068) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.411^{***} \\ (0.037) \end{array}$	0.227^{***} (0.048)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.307^{***} \\ (0.064) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.365^{***} \ (0.035) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.331^{***} \\ (0.051) \end{array}$
$\frac{\text{Observations}}{\text{R}^2}$	993 0.253	$3,384 \\ 0.287$	$1,432 \\ 0.356$	$794 \\ 0.117$	$2,954 \\ 0.114$	$1,338 \\ 0.184$

Table A6: Social and Economic Issue Preferences as a Function of Personality Traits and Demographics by Sample

Note: Entries are OLS coefficients with standard errors in parentheses; significance levels: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

			Dependen	t variable:		
	Social	l Issue Preferen	ces	Econom	nic Issue Prefer	ences
	ANES FTF	ANES Web	MTurk	ANES FTF	ANES Web	MTurk
Egalitarianism	-0.165^{***} (0.048)	-0.113^{***} (0.025)	-0.134^{***} (0.031)	-0.393^{***} (0.038)	-0.471^{***} (0.019)	-0.554^{***} (0.029)
Moral Traditionalism	$\begin{array}{c} 0.433^{***} \\ (0.045) \end{array}$	0.521^{***} (0.025)	0.488^{***} (0.030)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.232^{***} \\ (0.037) \end{array}$	0.226^{***} (0.018)	0.072^{**} (0.028)
Racial Resentment	$0.042 \\ (0.038)$	0.049^{*} (0.022)	$0.022 \\ (0.025)$	0.179^{***} (0.031)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.199^{***} \\ (0.017) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.168^{***} \\ (0.023) \end{array}$
Authoritarianism	$\begin{array}{c} 0.123^{***} \\ (0.032) \end{array}$	0.079^{***} (0.016)	$0.005 \\ (0.018)$	-0.054^{*} (0.026)	-0.028^{*} (0.012)	-0.046^{**} (0.017)
Age	0.089^{**} (0.037)	-0.011 (0.020)	$0.024 \\ (0.026)$	-0.055 (0.030)	$0.009 \\ (0.015)$	0.069^{**} (0.024)
Education	-0.035^{***} (0.008)	-0.021^{***} (0.004)	-0.006 (0.006)	0.021^{**} (0.007)	0.017^{***} (0.003)	0.018^{**} (0.006)
Male	0.072^{***} (0.017)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.077^{***} \\ (0.009) \end{array}$	$0.006 \\ (0.011)$	$0.012 \\ (0.014)$	0.016^{*} (0.007)	$0.004 \\ (0.010)$
Income	-0.003^{*} (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	0.006^{***} (0.001)	0.005^{***} (0.0005)	0.004^{***} (0.001)
Religiosity	0.229^{***} (0.029)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.284^{***} \\ (0.015) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.259^{***} \\ (0.022) \end{array}$	-0.012 (0.025)	-0.006 (0.011)	0.054^{**} (0.020)
Constant	$0.101 \\ (0.063)$	-0.003 (0.034)	$0.064 \\ (0.043)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.401^{***} \\ (0.051) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.433^{***} \\ (0.026) \end{array}$	0.540^{***} (0.040)
Observations R ²	$958 \\ 0.355$	$3,380 \\ 0.432$	$1,432 \\ 0.534$	$765 \\ 0.401$	$2,949 \\ 0.514$	$1,338 \\ 0.552$

Table A7: Social and Economic Issue Preferences as a Function of Values and Demographics by Sample

 $Note:\ Entries\ are\ OLS\ coefficients\ with\ standard\ errors\ in\ parentheses;\ significance\ levels:\ *p<0.05;\ **p<0.01;\ ***p<0.001.$

		D ()				
	MT vs. FTF ^a	Web vs. FTF ^b	MT vs. Web ^c	MT vs. FTF ^a	Web vs. FTF ^b	MT vs. Web ^c
Extraversion	-0.021 (0.041)	-0.016 (0.040)	-0.005 (0.019)	0.066 (0.048)	0.060 (0.053)	0.035 (0.028)
Agreeableness	-0.111* (0.049)	-0.090 (0.047)	-0.113*** (0.024)	-0.029 (0.057)	-0.001 (0.063)	-0.110^{**} (0.035)
Conscientiousness	0.198^{***} (0.048)	0.208^{***} (0.046)	0.148^{***} (0.024)	0.132^{*} (0.055)	0.130^{*} (0.062)	0.170^{***} (0.034)
Emotional Stability	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.084 \\ (0.045) \end{array} $	0.090^{*} (0.044)	$\binom{0.032}{(0.022)}$	$0.035 \\ (0.052)$	$0.034 \\ (0.058)$	$0.030 \\ (0.031)$
Openness	-0.204^{***} (0.051)	-0.220^{***} (0.049)	-0.193^{***} (0.023)	-0.215^{***} (0.058)	-0.246^{***} (0.065)	-0.265^{***} (0.033)
MTurk (vs. FTF)	$0.078 \\ (0.440)$			0.699 (0.526)		
Web (vs. FTF)		$ \begin{array}{c} 0.530 \\ (0.386) \end{array} $			$ \begin{array}{c} 0.520 \\ (0.520) \end{array} $	
MTurk (vs. Web)			-0.494 (0.292)			-0.052 (0.424)
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Extraversion	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.036 \\ (0.048) \end{array} $			-0.051 (0.057)		
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Agreeableness	-0.015 (0.057)			-0.115 (0.069)		
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Conscientiousness	-0.051 (0.057)			-0.007 (0.069)		
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Emotional Stability	-0.015 (0.053)			-0.003 (0.063)		
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Openness	-0.008 (0.059)			$\binom{0.052}{(0.071)}$		
Web (vs. FTF)*Extraversion		$\begin{array}{c} 0.017 \\ (0.044) \end{array}$			-0.020 (0.060)	
Web (vs. FTF)*Agreeableness		-0.008 (0.052)			-0.087 (0.071)	
Web (vs. FTF)*Conscientiousness		-0.061 (0.051)			$ \begin{array}{c} 0.034 \\ (0.071) \end{array} $	
Web (vs. FTF)*Emotional Stability		-0.060 (0.049)			-0.006 (0.066)	
Web (vs. FTF)*Openness		$0.018 \\ (0.053)$			-0.031 (0.073)	
MTurk (vs. Web)*Extraversion			$\begin{array}{c} 0.024 \\ (0.030) \end{array}$			-0.019 (0.044)
MTurk (vs. Web)*Agreeableness			-0.005 (0.038)			-0.023 (0.055)
MTurk (vs. Web)*Conscientiousness			$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \\ (0.039) \end{array}$			-0.043 (0.056)
MTurk (vs. Web)*Emotional Stability			$\binom{0.045}{(0.034)}$			$ \begin{array}{c} 0.008 \\ (0.050) \end{array} $
MTurk (vs. Web)*Openness			-0.028 (0.038)			$\begin{array}{c} 0.097 \\ (0.055) \end{array}$
Demographic Controls	Included	Included	Included	Included	Included	Included
Constant	3.179^{***} (0.373)	3.391^{***} (0.353)	3.931^{***} (0.179)	2.361^{***} (0.421)	2.585^{***} (0.462)	3.099^{***} (0.260)
Observations R ²	$2,256 \\ 0.250$	4,217 0.147	4,825 0.234	2,486 0.110	$4,460 \\ 0.077$	4,836 0.101

Table A8: Sample Differences in the Effects of Personality on Ideology and Partisanship

Note: Entries are OLS coefficients with standard errors in parentheses. Demographics are included in our analysis, but they are excluded from the table; significance levels: *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. ^a These columns report the coefficient differences between MTurk and ANES face-to-face samples ^b These columns report the coefficient differences between ANES Web and ANES face-to-face samples ^c These columns report the coefficient differences between MTurk and ANES Web samples

		Dependent variable:						
		Ideology			Partisanship			
	MT vs. FTFa	Web vs. FTF	MT vs. Web ^c	MT vs. FTFa	Web vs. F'I'F'	MT vs. Web		
Egantarianism	(0.232)	(0.228)	(0.111)	(0.284)	(0.307)	(0.165)		
Moral Traditionalism	1.900***	2.000***	2.085***	2.225***	2.392***	2.419***		
	(0.208)	(0.199)	(0.105)	(0.258)	(0.274)	(0.155)		
Racial Resentment	1.042***	0.995***	0.795***	1.686***	1.673***	1.827***		
	(0.190)	(0.186)	(0.097)	(0.225)	(0.244)	(0.144)		
Authoritarianism	-0.135	-0.138	0.093	-0.698*** (0.184)	-0.571** (0.195)	-0.482*** (0.101)		
	(0.101)	(0.140)	(0.000)	(0.104)	(0.130)	(0.101)		
M'I'urk (vs. F'I'F')	-0.178 (0.311)			(0.383) (0.397)				
Web (vs FTF)		0.017			-0.159			
		(0.274)			(0.379)			
MTurk (vs. Web)			-0.188			0.528		
			(0.215)			(0.319)		
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Egalitarianism	-0.089			0.414				
	(0.291)			(0.372)				
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Moral Traditionalism	-0.148 (0.248)			-0.425 (0.320)				
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Bacial Besentment	0.253			-0.634*				
wrunk (vs. FTF) nacial nesentinent	(0.236)			(0.296)				
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Authoritarianism	0.269			0.455^{*}				
	(0.179)			(0.227)				
Web (vs. FTF)*Egalitarianism		-0.218			-0.305			
		(0.254)			(0.352)			
Web (vs. FTF)*Moral Traditionalism		0.096 (0.218)			0.115 (0.305)			
		(0.210)			(0.000)			
Web (Vs. FIF)*Racial Resentment		(0.210)			(0.135) (0.285)			
Web (vs. FTF)*Authoritarianism		0.243			0.138			
		(0.158)			(0.216)			
MTurk (vs. Web)*Egalitarianism			0.107			0.713^{*}		
			(0.201)			(0.297)		
MTurk (vs. Web)*Moral Traditionalism			-0.247 (0.171)			-0.489 (0.254)		
			(0.111)			(0.201)		
MTurk (vs. Web)*Racial Resentment			(0.165)			-0.785 (0.245)		
MTurk (vs. Web)*Authoritarianism			0.052			0.266		
			(0.118)			(0.174)		
Demographic Controls	Included	Included	Included	Included	Included	Included		
Constant	2.883***	3.016***	3.096***	2.770***	2.553***	2.522***		
	(0.280)	(0.257)	(0.144)	(0.342)	(0.348)	(0.213)		
Observations	2,222	4,177	4,819	2,444	4,413	4,831		
R ²	0.495	0.407	0.501	0.348	0.366	0.391		

Table A9: Sample Differences in the Effects of Values on Ideology and Partisanship

Note: Entries are OLS coefficients with standard errors in parentheses. Demographics are included in our analysis, but they are excluded from the table; significance levels: *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. ^a These columns report the coefficient differences between MTurk and ANES face-to-face samples ^b These columns report the coefficient differences between ANES Web and ANES face-to-face samples ^c These columns report the coefficient differences between MTurk and ANES Web samples

			Depender	nt variable:		
		Social Issue Preference	s	E	conomic Issue Preferen	ces
	MT vs. FTF ^a	Web vs. FTF ^b	MT vs. Web ^c	MT vs. FTF ^a	Web vs. FTF ⁰	MT vs. Web ^c
Extraversion	(0.007)	(0.002)	(0.004)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.008)
Agreeableness	-0.007 (0.008)	-0.001 (0.009)	-0.020*** (0.005)	-0.017^{*} (0.008)	-0.014 (0.008)	-0.022^{***} (0.004)
Conscientiousness	0.018^{*} (0.008)	0.020^{*} (0.009)	0.014^{**} (0.005)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.016 \\ (0.008) \end{array} $	0.019^{*} (0.008)	0.028^{***} (0.004)
Emotional Stability	-0.009 (0.007)	-0.010 (0.008)	$0.001 \\ (0.004)$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.006 \\ (0.008) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.007 \\ (0.008) \end{array} $	0.014^{***} (0.004)
Openness	-0.042^{***} (0.008)	-0.045^{***} (0.009)	-0.034 ^{***} (0.004)	-0.016 (0.008)	-0.021^{*} (0.008)	-0.033^{***} (0.004)
MTurk (vs. FTF)	-0.134 (0.074)			$ \begin{array}{c} 0.065 \\ (0.076) \end{array} $		
Web (vs. FTF)		$ \begin{array}{c} 0.045 \\ (0.072) \end{array} $			$ \begin{array}{c} 0.041 \\ (0.069) \end{array} $	
MTurk (vs. Web)			-0.181^{**} (0.057)			0.009 (0.054)
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Extraversion	$0.003 \\ (0.008)$			-0.007 (0.008)		
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Agreeableness	-0.001 (0.010)			-0.011 (0.010)		
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Conscientiousness	-0.010 (0.010)			$0.007 \\ (0.010)$		
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Emotional Stability	$0.013 \\ (0.009)$			$0.005 \\ (0.009)$		
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Openness	$0.017 \\ (0.010)$			-0.014 (0.010)		
Web (vs. FTF)*Extraversion		-0.005 (0.008)			-0.002 (0.008)	
Web (vs. FTF)*Agreeableness		-0.015 (0.010)			-0.006 (0.009)	
Web (vs. FTF)*Conscientiousness		-0.005 (0.010)			$0.009 \\ (0.009)$	
Web (vs. FTF)*Emotional Stability		$ \begin{array}{c} 0.011 \\ (0.009) \end{array} $			$0.008 \\ (0.009)$	
Web (vs. FTF)*Openness		$0.010 \\ (0.010)$			-0.015 (0.009)	
MTurk (vs. Web)*Extraversion			$0.008 \\ (0.006)$			-0.005 (0.006)
MTurk (vs. Web)*Agreeableness			$0.013 \\ (0.007)$			-0.005 (0.007)
MTurk (vs. Web)*Conscientiousness			-0.006 (0.008)			-0.004 (0.007)
MTurk (vs. Web)*Emotional Stability			$ \begin{array}{c} 0.001 \\ (0.007) \end{array} $			-0.002 (0.006)
MTurk (vs. Web)*Openness			$0.010 \\ (0.007)$			0.001 (0.007)
Demographic Controls	Included	Included	Included	Included	Included	Included
Constant	0.395^{***} (0.060)	0.372^{***} (0.065)	0.413^{***} (0.035)	0.253^{***} (0.064)	0.317^{***} (0.062)	0.343^{***} (0.034)
Observations R ²	2,425 0.343	4,377 0.279	4,816 0.352	2,132 0.175	$3,748 \\ 0.116$	4,292 0.179

Table A10: Sample Differences in the Effects of Personality on Social and Economic Issue Preferences

Note: Entries are OLS coefficients with standard errors in parentheses. Demographics are included in our analysis, but they are excluded from the table; significance levels: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. ^a These columns report the coefficient differences between MTurk and ANES face-to-face samples ^b These columns report the coefficient differences between ANES Web and ANES face-to-face samples ^c These columns report the coefficient differences between MTurk and ANES Web samples

			Depender	it variable:		
		Social Issue Preference	8	E	conomic Issue Preference	ces
	MT vs. FTF^a	Web vs. FTF ^b	MT vs. Web^{C}	MT vs. FTF ^a	Web vs. FTF ^b	MT vs. Web^{C}
Egalitarianism	-0.163^{***} (0.042)	-0.162^{***} (0.047)	-0.116^{***} (0.024)	-0.404^{***} (0.037)	-0.400^{***} (0.036)	-0.474^{***} (0.019)
Moral Traditionalism	0.426^{***} (0.038)	0.423^{***} (0.041)	0.520^{***} (0.023)	0.191^{***} (0.034)	0.220^{***} (0.032)	0.210^{***} (0.018)
Racial Resentment	$0.050 \\ (0.033)$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.049 \\ (0.037) \end{array} $	0.052^{*} (0.021)	0.185^{***} (0.030)	0.178^{***} (0.030)	0.204^{***} (0.017)
Authoritarianism	0.139^{***} (0.027)	0.135^{***} (0.030)	0.084^{***} (0.015)	-0.068^{**} (0.024)	-0.062^{**} (0.023)	-0.030^{*} (0.012)
MTurk (vs. FTF)	$0.063 \\ (0.057)$			0.107^{*} (0.050)		
Web (vs. FTF)		-0.033 (0.057)			$0.003 \\ (0.044)$	
MTurk (vs. Web)			$0.073 \\ (0.046)$			0.108^{**} (0.036)
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Egalitarianism	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.022 \\ (0.054) \end{array} $			-0.137^{**} (0.047)		
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Moral Traditionalism	$0.068 \\ (0.046)$			-0.087^{*} (0.041)		
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Racial Resentment	-0.046 (0.043)			-0.017 (0.038)		
MTurk (vs. FTF)*Authoritarianism	-0.133*** (0.033)			$ \begin{array}{c} 0.027 \\ (0.029) \end{array} $		
Web (vs. FTF)*Egalitarianism		$0.045 \\ (0.053)$			-0.067 (0.041)	
Web (vs. FTF)*Moral Traditionalism		0.101^{*} (0.046)			0.011 (0.036)	
Web (vs. FTF)*Racial Resentment		-0.003 (0.043)			$ \begin{array}{c} 0.021 \\ (0.034) \end{array} $	
Web (vs. FTF)*Authoritarianism		-0.059 (0.033)			$0.036 \\ (0.025)$	
MTurk (vs. Web)*Egalitarianism			$ \begin{array}{c} 0.002 \\ (0.043) \end{array} $			-0.071^{*} (0.034)
MTurk (vs. Web)*Moral Traditionalism			-0.031 (0.037)			-0.098^{***} (0.029)
MTurk (vs. Web)*Racial Resentment			-0.035 (0.035)			-0.042 (0.028)
MTurk (vs. Web)*Authoritarianism			-0.079** (0.025)			-0.008 (0.020)
Demographic Controls	Included	Included	Included	Included	Included	Included
Constant	$0.058 \\ (0.050)$	$0.047 \\ (0.053)$	-0.009 (0.031)	0.413^{***} (0.044)	0.425^{***} (0.041)	0.428^{***} (0.025)
Observations	2,390	4,338	4,812	2,103	3,714	4,287

Table A11: Sample Differences in the Effects of Values on Social and Economic Issue Preferences

Note: Entries are OLS coefficients with standard errors in parentheses. Demographics are included in our analysis, but they are excluded from the table; significance levels: *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. ^a These columns report the coefficient differences between MTurk and ANES face-to-face samples ^b These columns report the coefficient differences between ANES Web and ANES face-to-face samples ^c These columns report the coefficient differences between MTurk and ANES Web samples

Appendix G: False Discovery Rate Tables

Table	DV	Inter	action	Rank	p-value	$qFDR^i$	$qFDR^d$
Table A11	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*A	Authoritarianism	1	0.0001	0.0014	0.0014
Table A11	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Egalitarianism	2	0.0033	0.0028	0.0019
Table A9	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Racial Resentment	3	0.0322	0.0042	0.0023
Table A11	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*1	Aoral Traditionalism	4	0.0328	0.0056	0.0027
Table A9	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. FTF)*A	Authoritarianism	5	0.0455	0.0069	0.0030
Table A10	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*(Openness	6	0.0835	0.0083	0.0034
Table A8	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. FTF)*A	greeableness	7	0.0938	0.0097	0.0037
Table A9	Ideology	MTurk (vs. FTF)*A	Authoritarianism	8	0.1332	0.0111	0.0041
Table A11	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*1	Aoral Traditionalism	9	0.1446	0.0125	0.0044
Table A10	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*0	Openness	10	0.1489	0.0139	0.0047
Table A10	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Emotional Stability	11	0.1505	0.0153	0.0051
Table A9	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. FTF)*1	Aoral Traditionalism	12	0.1847	0.0167	0.0054
Table A10	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*A	Agreeableness	13	0.2428	0.0181	0.0057
Table A9	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Egalitarianism	14	0.2663	0.0194	0.0060
Table A9	Ideology	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Racial Resentment	15	0.2841	0.0208	0.0063
Table A11	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Racial Resentment	16	0.2888	0.0222	0.0066
Table A10	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*(Conscientiousness	17	0.3094	0.0236	0.0069
Table A11	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*A	Authoritarianism	18	0.3487	0.0250	0.0072
Table A10	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Extraversion	19	0.3617	0.0264	0.0074
Table A8	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Extraversion	20	0.3703	0.0278	0.0077
Table A8	Ideology	MTurk (vs. FTF)*(Conscientiousness	21	0.3716	0.0292	0.0080
Table A8	Ideology	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Extraversion	22	0.4469	0.0306	0.0083
Table A8	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. FTF)*(Openness	23	0.4654	0.0319	0.0086
Table A10	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*(Conscientiousness	24	0.4941	0.0333	0.0088
Table A9	Ideology	MTurk (vs. FTF)*1	Aoral Traditionalism	25	0.5497	0.0347	0.0091
Table A10	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Emotional Stability	26	0.5963	0.0361	0.0094
Table A11	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Racial Resentment	27	0.6506	0.0375	0.0096
Table A11	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Egalitarianism	28	0.6832	0.0389	0.0099
Table A10	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Extraversion	29	0.6968	0.0403	0.0102
Table A9	Ideology	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Egalitarianism	30	0.7593	0.0417	0.0104
Table A8	Ideology	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Emotional Stability	31	0.7733	0.0431	0.0107
Table A8	Ideology	MTurk (vs. FTF)*A	Agreeableness .	32	0.7907	0.0444	0.0110
Table A8	Ideology	MTurk (vs. FTF)*(Openness	33	0.8893	0.0458	0.0112
Table A10	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. FTF)*A	Agreeableness	34	0.9081	0.0472	0.0115
Table A8	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. FTF)*	Conscientiousness	35	0.9153	0.0486	0.0117
Table A8	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. FTF)*I	Emotional Stability	36	0.9655	0.0500	0.0120

Table A12: False Discovery Rates for MTurk vs. ANES FTF Interactions, with q=.05

 $Note: \ This \ table \ reports \ the \ false \ discovery \ rates \ for \ p-values \ of \ the \ interactions \ between \ the \ MTurk \ and \ ANES \ FTF$

samples. P-values are rank ordered from smallest to largest. These interactions can be found in Tables 5, 6, 7, and 8.

 $^{d}\$ These columns report the false discovery rate assuming test statistics are dependent

Table	DV	Interaction	Rank	p-value	$qFDR^i$	$qFDR^d$
Table A11	Social Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Moral Traditionalism	1	0.0285	0.0014	0.0014
Table A11	Social Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Authoritarianism	2	0.0721	0.0028	0.0019
Table A11	Economic Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Egalitarianism	3	0.0977	0.0042	0.0023
Table A10	Economic Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Openness	4	0.1150	0.0056	0.0027
Table A10	Social Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Agreeableness	5	0.1208	0.0069	0.0030
Table A9	Ideology	Web (vs. FTF)*Authoritarianism	6	0.1233	0.0083	0.0034
Table A11	Economic Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Authoritarianism	7	0.1552	0.0097	0.0037
Table A8	Ideology	Web (vs. FTF)*Emotional Stability	8	0.2183	0.0111	0.0041
Table A8	Partisanship	Web (vs. FTF)*Agreeableness	9	0.2221	0.0125	0.0044
Table A8	Ideology	Web (vs. FTF)*Conscientiousness	10	0.2338	0.0139	0.0047
Table A10	Social Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Emotional Stability	11	0.2564	0.0153	0.0051
Table A10	Economic Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Conscientiousness	12	0.3029	0.0167	0.0054
Table A9	Ideology	Web (vs. FTF)*Racial Resentment	13	0.3445	0.0181	0.0057
Table A10	Social Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Openness	14	0.3462	0.0194	0.0060
Table A10	Economic Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Emotional Stability	15	0.3525	0.0208	0.0063
Table A9	Partisanship	Web (vs. FTF)*Egalitarianism	16	0.3863	0.0222	0.0066
Table A9	Ideology	Web (vs. FTF)*Egalitarianism	17	0.3910	0.0236	0.0069
Table A11	Social Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Egalitarianism	18	0.4005	0.0250	0.0072
Table A10	Economic Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Agreeableness	19	0.5210	0.0264	0.0074
Table A9	Partisanship	Web (vs. FTF)*Authoritarianism	20	0.5234	0.0278	0.0077
Table A11	Economic Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Racial Resentment	21	0.5371	0.0292	0.0080
Table A10	Social Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Extraversion	22	0.5490	0.0306	0.0083
Table A8	Partisanship	Web (vs. FTF)*Conscientiousness	23	0.6309	0.0319	0.0086
Table A9	Partisanship	Web (vs. FTF)*Racial Resentment	24	0.6358	0.0333	0.0088
Table A10	Social Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Conscientiousness	25	0.6424	0.0347	0.0091
Table A9	Ideology	Web (vs. FTF)*Moral Traditionalism	26	0.6610	0.0361	0.0094
Table A8	Partisanship	Web (vs. FTF)*Openness	27	0.6685	0.0375	0.0096
Table A8	Ideology	Web (vs. FTF)*Extraversion	28	0.6984	0.0389	0.0099
Table A9	Partisanship	Web (vs. FTF)*Moral Traditionalism	29	0.7068	0.0403	0.0102
Table A8	Ideology	Web (vs. FTF)*Openness	30	0.7302	0.0417	0.0104
Table A8	Partisanship	Web (vs. FTF)*Extraversion	31	0.7391	0.0431	0.0107
Table A11	Economic Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Moral Traditionalism	32	0.7588	0.0444	0.0110
Table A10	Economic Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Extraversion	33	0.7798	0.0458	0.0112
Table A8	Ideology	Web (vs. FTF)*Agreeableness	34	0.8819	0.0472	0.0115
Table A8	Partisanship	Web (vs. FTF)*Emotional Stability	35	0.9264	0.0486	0.0117
Table A11	Social Ideo	Web (vs. FTF)*Racial Resentment	36	0.9371	0.0500	0.0120

Table A13: False Discovery Rates for ANES Web vs. ANES FTF Interactions, with q=.05

Note: This table reports the false discovery rates for p-values of the interactions between the MTurk and ANES FTF samples. P-values are rank ordered from smallest to largest. These interactions can be found in Tables 5, 6, 7, and 8.

 i These columns report the false discovery rate assuming test statistics are independent

 $^{d}\,$ These columns report the false discovery rate assuming test statistics are dependent

Table	DV	Interaction	Rank	p-value	$qFDR^i$	$qFDR^d$
Table A11	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Moral Traditionalism	1	0.0007	0.0014	0.0014
Table A9	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. Web)*Racial Resentment	2	0.0014	0.0028	0.0019
Table A11	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Authoritarianism	3	0.0019	0.0042	0.0023
Table A9	Ideology	MTurk (vs. Web)*Racial Resentment	4	0.0070	0.0056	0.0027
Table A9	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. Web)*Egalitarianism	5	0.0163	0.0069	0.0030
Table A11	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Egalitarianism	6	0.0336	0.0083	0.0034
Table A9	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. Web)*Moral Traditionalism	7	0.0545	0.0097	0.0037
Table A10	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Agreeableness	8	0.0691	0.0111	0.0041
Table A8	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. Web)*Openness	9	0.0764	0.0125	0.0044
Table A9	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. Web)*Authoritarianism	10	0.1269	0.0139	0.0047
Table A11	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Racial Resentment	11	0.1289	0.0153	0.0051
Table A9	Ideology	MTurk (vs. Web)*Moral Traditionalism	12	0.1506	0.0167	0.0054
Table A10	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Openness	13	0.1708	0.0181	0.0057
Table A10	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Extraversion	14	0.1861	0.0194	0.0060
Table A8	Ideology	MTurk (vs. Web)*Emotional Stability	15	0.1902	0.0208	0.0063
Table A11	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Racial Resentment	16	0.3183	0.0222	0.0066
Table A10	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Extraversion	17	0.3827	0.0236	0.0069
Table A11	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Moral Traditionalism	18	0.4042	0.0250	0.0072
Table A8	Ideology	MTurk (vs. Web)*Extraversion	19	0.4192	0.0264	0.0074
Table A8	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. Web)*Conscientiousness	20	0.4422	0.0278	0.0077
Table A10	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Agreeableness	21	0.4433	0.0292	0.0080
Table A8	Ideology	MTurk (vs. Web)*Openness	22	0.4613	0.0306	0.0083
Table A10	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Conscientiousness	23	0.4664	0.0319	0.0086
Table A9	Ideology	MTurk (vs. Web)*Egalitarianism	24	0.5954	0.0333	0.0088
Table A10	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Conscientiousness	25	0.6049	0.0347	0.0091
Table A9	Ideology	MTurk (vs. Web)*Authoritarianism	26	0.6573	0.0361	0.0094
Table A8	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. Web)*Agreeableness	27	0.6690	0.0375	0.0096
Table A8	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. Web)*Extraversion	28	0.6724	0.0389	0.0099
Table A11	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Authoritarianism	29	0.6793	0.0403	0.0102
Table A10	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Emotional Stability	30	0.7358	0.0417	0.0104
Table A10	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Emotional Stability	31	0.8313	0.0431	0.0107
Table A10	Economic Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Openness	32	0.8597	0.0444	0.0110
Table A8	Partisanship	MTurk (vs. Web)*Emotional Stability	33	0.8686	0.0458	0.0112
Table A8	Ideology	MTurk (vs. Web)*Agreeableness	34	0.8928	0.0472	0.0115
Table A8	Ideology	MTurk (vs. Web)*Conscientiousness	35	0.9008	0.0486	0.0117
Table A11	Social Ideo	MTurk (vs. Web)*Egalitarianism	36	0.9627	0.0500	0.0120

Table A14: False Discovery Rates for MTurk vs. ANES Web Interactions, with q=.05

Note: This table reports the false discovery rates for p-values of the interactions between the MTurk and ANES FTF samples. P-values are rank ordered from smallest to largest. These interactions can be found in Tables 5, 6, 7, and 8.

 i These columns report the false discovery rate assuming test statistics are independent

 d These columns report the false discovery rate assuming test statistics are dependent